



**OREGON  
COUNCIL ON  
DEVELOPMENTAL  
DISABILITIES**

---

**Program Performance Report  
to the Administration on Developmental  
Disabilities  
(ADD)  
2008-2009**

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**SECTION I: IDENTIFICATION**

1. State/Territory Name: OREGON
2. Federal Fiscal Year Reporting: 2009
3. Name of person to contact regarding PPR information: Bill Lynch
4. Contact Person's Phone number: 503-945-9942
5. Name of Executive Director: Bill Lynch
6. Name of Chairperson: Mar Goodman
7. Council Address: 540 24<sup>TH</sup> PLACE N.E., SALEM, OR 97301
8. Council Phone Number: 503-945-9941
9. Council FAX Number: 503-945-9947
10. Council e-mail address: OCDD@OCDD.ORG
11. Council Web Page address: WWW.OCDD.ORG
12. Did your Designated State Agency (DSA) change this fiscal year?  
x No    Yes    If Yes, name of new DSA: \_\_\_\_\_  
  
If Yes, is the new DSA a Service Provider?     Yes  No
13. State Authority Establishing Council:  
 State Statute     Executive Order     N/A
14. Has the Executive Order/Statute changed this year?  
x  No     Yes     N/A    If yes, kindly attach a copy

---

## DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT

---

**SECTION II: COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW AND ANALYSIS UPDATE** - Please provide an update on the comprehensive review and analysis in your State Plan. Include a description of the adequacy of health care and other services, supports, and assistance that individuals with developmental disabilities receive 1) in ICF(MR)s; and 2) through home and community-based waivers. (4000 characters)

### Services for Adults

The Staley lawsuit settlement agreement says all adults waiting for Support Services would be served by July 1, 2009. This year 1696 individuals received Support Services, ending the waitlist for these services. Now all eligible individuals are to be served within 90 days. Due to the budget crisis, the state may not meet the terms of the settlement agreement, which runs through 2011.

The agreement also says that 300 individuals would receive non-crisis comprehensive services by July 1, 2009. The state exceeded that number by developing comprehensive services for 148 individuals this year. The state's commitment to continue development of new non-crisis comprehensive services on a biennial basis is in jeopardy due to the budget crisis.

### Services for Children and Families

Oregon maintains 3 Model Waiver programs for children. The Family Support rules were written to define family support as basic case management. A limited number of families continue to receive funded plans averaging about \$500 per year. The State contracted for creation of a Family Support Center as model for family to family support. Due to the state fiscal crisis, the 2009 budget for family support services was reduced by \$1 million or 25%.

### Systems Infrastructure and Improvements

Oregon's last ICF/MR closed ahead of schedule when the last remaining residents left in October. The state's Money Follows the Person project moved 36 individuals with developmental disabilities into community homes this year, including residents of Eastern Oregon Training Center.

---

## DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT

---

The ReBAR Project (Restructure Budgets, Assessments and Rates) is developing models and methods for consistent, equitable provider rates and individual needs assessments that link to individual budget amounts. This year the first 892 individuals were assessed and 772 received an increased budget amount for residential services.

The state is making significant changes in their administrative relationship with local Community Developmental Disabilities Programs (CDDP). In the past, the State contracted with the counties and other local entities, who then subcontracted with service providers. Because of the many contract changes, delays in implementation, and changes in individual services, the status of subcontracted funds was sometimes unknown until the end of biennium. Unspent money was used to rebalance budgets in other human service programs. The change will allow the State to always know the exact amount in the State program budget, “sweep” CDDP budgets quarterly for unallocated and unspent dollars, and reallocate dollars to DD services. And once DD services are included in the overhauled Medicaid Management Information System, the state will be able to contract with and pay providers directly.

The state-funded Growing Resources in Oregon project has implemented multiple strategies and provided training and TA to provider agencies to increase their capacity to serve the growing number of people entering our systems with complex support needs.

### Employment

Oregon’s Medicaid Infrastructure Grant launched a statewide benefits planning network in 2008 and funded a train-the-trainer event on person-centered employment planning for people with DD and families.

SPD participates in the Supported Employment Learning Network (SELN), a national technical assistance project. SELN helped the ReBAR Project begin to build an outcome-based employment rates structure and to create the “employment first” policy that was adopted this year.

### Health Care

The Governor’s Healthy Kids Initiative, passed by the 2009 Legislature, began implementation in September. The program is expected to cover uninsured children with family incomes up 300% of the FPL using a sliding fee scale. Advocates were

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

unsuccessful in maintaining an option for underinsured children with disabilities to access the program.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**Waiting List** – Please report any change in number (from last year to this year) of individuals with developmental disabilities on waiting lists for services.

<b>Waiting List Name</b>	<b>Number in Plan or previous PPR</b>	<b>Number @ FY09</b>
<b>Support Services for Adults</b>	<b>1493</b>	<b>0</b>

**Waiting List narrative**

**Please provide a brief narrative explaining any changes. (2,500 characters)**

The Support Services wait list data reported above is based on the number of people identified through county case management data currently eligible and waiting for access to Support Services. All those remaining individuals who were on the wait list received Support Services in FY09. As of July 2009, all eligible individuals are entitled to Support Services within 90 days of entering the Brokerage.

**Comprehensive Services:** Access to adult comprehensive services happens in two ways: through the 300 non-crisis comprehensive services slots available as a result of the Staley Settlement or through crisis services. 148 individuals were reported to have entered non-crisis comprehensive services in 2009. Each county maintains a semi-informal list of individuals needing comprehensive services and uses the list when non-crisis openings arise. The State has formed a workgroup, which includes Council representation, to create a centralized waitlist for comprehensive services for adults. Rules for the management of the waitlist are expected in early 2010. Oregon currently has no formal, statewide crisis wait list or waitlist for children’s residential services.

**Family Support Services:** Oregon has 4 services that support families of children with DD living at home: a Medicaid waiver for medically fragile children; a waiver for children with behavior issues; a new waiver for medically involved children; and a state general fund Family Support Program. The Medical waiver programs do not have wait lists. Eligibility criteria are extremely narrow and therefore many families with very significant support needs cannot get access to these waivers. The Family Support program is managed by Community Developmental Disabilities Programs using state general fund dollars. The State has changed the rules for the Family Support program to include all families and to provide primarily case management

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

service. Larger Family Support Plans (\$7,000 per year) have been eliminated and the average plan size is now approximately \$500. More families are receiving some funding while families with significant support needs are being forced back into a crisis system.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**2. COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN EMPLOYMENT:** People get and keep employment consistent with their interests, abilities and needs.

**EM01 Adults have jobs of their choice through Council efforts:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	10	2	0	0	12
Actual	6	4	2			12

**EM02 Dollars leveraged for employment programs:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	\$0	\$8,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,400
Actual	\$13,500	\$26,900	\$0			\$40,400

**EM03 Employers provided vocational supports to students on the job:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**EM04 Businesses/employers employed adults:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	6	0	0			6

**EM05 Employment programs/policies created/improved:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	1	7	2	1	1	12
Actual	7	5	5			17

**EM06 People facilitated employment:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**EM07 People trained in employment:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	80	80	56	0	0	216
Actual	567	47	56			670

---

## DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT

---

### **NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN EMPLOYMENT (2500 characters)**

The Council is a key player in all major state employment initiatives, programs and coalitions, including the DD Employment Task Force, the Competitive Employment Project (MIG grant), State Employment Leadership Network, the Employed Persons with Disabilities program and the Restructuring Budgets, Assessments and Rates (ReBAR) program.

We also served on an advisory group that made recommendations for improvements to the Products of People with Disabilities program, Oregon's set-aside program. The program allows qualified rehabilitation facilities (QRF) that employ people with disabilities to have priority opportunities to bid on government contract work. This was time-consuming and highly contentious process. For many years – and again in 2009 – special interest organizations have supported legislation that would have severely restricted the program. After the last failed attempt at legislation, the program's administrative agency formed a large advisory group to try to reach consensus on points of contention. On more than one occasion, open hostility between these rival stakeholder groups broke out during the meetings. During these exchanges, the Council often tried to offer compromises and bring the conversation back to a civil tone by re-enforcing the group's agreed-upon ground rules.

Defending a QRF program that is not a clean match with our values was awkward for the Council. The agencies that participate in this program mostly provide sheltered and group employment for people with developmental and other disabilities. The Council's priority, of course, is to create integrated community employment opportunities for people. We agreed, however, to participate in this effort because, for better or worse, the program does provide paid work opportunities for many working age adults who might otherwise have a difficult time finding paid work.

In 2009, the Council also assumed the role of state team leader for the Alliance for Full Participation, created and chaired a subcommittee to advise the state

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

regarding the Employed Persons with Disabilities Program, and participated on a Competitive Employment Project subcommittee overseeing the development of a national media campaign targeted at employers.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**2. COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN EDUCATION AND EARLY INTERVENTION: Students reach their educational potential and infants and young children reach their developmental potential.**

**ED01 Students have the education and support needed to reach their educational goals through Council efforts:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	300	300	600
Actual	0	0	0			0

**ED02 Infants and young children have the services/supports needed to reach developmental goals through Council efforts:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	10,000	3000	3000	3000	19,000
Actual	0	10,500	3000			13,500

**ED03 Students transitioned from school to community and jobs**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**ED04 Children transitioned from early intervention and pre-school to inclusive school/classrooms**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**ED05 Dollars leveraged for education**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Actual	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$22,000,000			\$28,000,000

**ED06 Education programs/policies created/improved**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	1	3	1	0	1	6
Actual	3	1	6			10

**ED07 Post-secondary institutions improved inclusive education**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**ED08 Schools improved IEP practices**

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
<b>Target</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Actual</b>	0	0	0			0

**ED09 People facilitated inclusive education**

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
<b>Target</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Actual</b>	0	0				0

**ED10 People trained in inclusive education**

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
<b>Target</b>	475	130	100	130	130	995
<b>Actual</b>	133	145	74			352

**ED12 Parents trained regarding their child's educational rights**

	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
<b>Target</b>	0	0	30	30	30	90
<b>Actual</b>	0	47	73			120

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN EDUCATION AND EARLY INTERVENTION.** (2500 characters)

The Council played a key role in a highly controversial but successful effort to close the School for the Blind. Legislation calling for closure was introduced by a legislator who is a former Council member and parent of a child with developmental disabilities. Students, former students and their families – as well as other School for the Blind proponents and stakeholders – vigorously opposed the legislation. The Council worked with the legislator who introduced the bill to educate policymakers about the benefits of educating students who are blind in their home communities, something that is already being done for the vast majority of these students. Ultimately, all students who are blind should benefit from the closure because it would put pressure on school districts and regional programs to improve and increase their capacity to serve all students who have visual impairments. Proceeds from the sale of the school property will go to the Education Stability Fund. Program funds were directed to help School for the Blind students with transition, in-home support, respite, or other necessary supports.

Council staff, acting on behalf of the Oregon DD Coalition, worked with the state’s premier gay rights organization and others to pass an anti-bullying bill. The bill sets out specific guidelines for how schools are to handle incidents of bullying, which is primarily directed at students because of their disabilities or sexual orientation.

A proposed reduction in Early Intervention / Early Childhood Special Education was over \$13 million dollars. \$8 million dollars were restored. The program will not be required to return to the Emergency Board for funding of projected case load growth. Additionally, a budget note directs the Oregon Department of Education to begin to use an “actual cost” funding formula.

Building on legislation passed in 2007, an “extended diploma” was created through legislation and the requirements for the modified diploma were clarified. This represents an ongoing effort to assure that all students experience high expectations and that there is statewide consistency in diplomas.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**2. COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN HOUSING: Adults choose where and with whom they live.**

**HO01 Individuals have homes of their choice through Council efforts**

Target	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	170	170	170	170	170	850
Actual	131	284	80			415

**HO02 People moved from congregate settings to homes in community**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	36	0	0	36
Actual	0	14	36			50

**HO03 Dollars leveraged for housing**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$1,875,000
Actual	\$412,000	\$600,211	\$159,275			\$1,171,486

**HO04 Banks made mortgage funds available to enable people to own their own homes**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**HO05 Housing programs/policies created/improved**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	1	0	1	2
Actual	0	2	3			5

**HO06 Units of affordable, accessible housing made available**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**HO07 People facilitated home ownership/rental**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**HO08 People trained in housing**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	100	0	10	100	100	310
Actual	0	0	7			7

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR  
IN HOUSING.** (2500 characters)

Background: At the request of the DD Coalition, the Oregon legislature passed a bill in 2001 that authorized the creation of the Fairview Community Housing Trust Fund with proceeds from the sale of institution property. Since then, small grants (under \$5000 each) have been awarded to increase the safety and independence of individuals with DD in their family homes. A variety of projects have been funded, including the building of ramps, bathroom remodels and purchase of therapeutic equipment. The Council is represented on the fund's advisory committee and the director of the Council chairs the committee.

In 2009, 80 individuals received trust fund grants totaling more than \$159,200.

Background: The Council encouraged the state to apply for a Money Follows the Person federal grant. With the federal funding, Oregon created the On The Move project to help people, including individuals with developmental disabilities, move out of congregate living (institutions, nursing homes) into homes in the community. The Council sits on the project advisory committee.

This year, the project assisted an additional 36 people with developmental disabilities in moving into community homes, including most of the remaining residents of Oregon's last ICF/MR, Eastern Oregon Training Center. Last year we predicted that the institution would close by 2010, but the process was accelerated and we are happy to report that the last residents left the institution just weeks after the end of this reporting period. More about this Oregon milestone will be included in our 2010 PPR.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**2. COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN HEALTH:** People are healthy and benefit from the full range of needed health services.

**HE01 People have needed health services through Council efforts**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	10,000	125	25	25	25	10,200
Actual	10,000	67	73			10,140

**HE02 Dollars leveraged for health services**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Actual	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$0

**HE03 Health care programs/policies created/improved**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	4	1	2	1	1	9
Actual	4	2	5			11

**HE04 People improved health services**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**HE05 People trained in health care services**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN HEALTH.** (2500 characters)

As a result of our efforts to educate policymakers about the health care needs of Oregonians with developmental disabilities, several improvements were made to state policy, including:

- Insurers are required to provide coverage, including medical foods, for treatment of inborn errors of metabolism. This requirement had been scheduled to “sunset” in 2009.
- Medically necessary treatment for traumatic brain injury will be covered by insurance plans.
- Requires private insurance to cover hearing aids for children and youth.
- A Charitable Prescription Drug Program was created to distribute donated prescription drugs to needy or uninsured individuals. This legislation was designed to address the current practice of throwing out unused and often very expensive prescription medications.
- Access to health care was significantly expanded. An increased hospital tax and a one percent tax on commercial insurance premiums were passed to fund the expansion. Health care will be offered to all uninsured children up to the age of 19 including access to a variety of health insurance options for families over 200% of the Federal Poverty Level.

Meanwhile, major health care reform legislation passed in 2009 creates the Oregon Health Authority and begins a two-year process of transferring health care related programs out of the Department of Human Services and into a newly created Health Authority. This process will be closely monitored by the Council to ensure that policy changes related to Medicaid funded services for people with developmental disabilities are in the best interest of individuals. The

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

legislation also established an Oregon Health Policy Board, which is directed to create a plan for improved health care delivery and outcomes in Oregon. The Council is represented on the board.

**COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN CHILD CARE:** Children and families benefit from a range of inclusive, flexible child care options.

**CH01 Children in inclusive child care settings through Council efforts:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	110	110	110	110	110	550
Actual	119	102	159			380

**CH02 Dollars leveraged for child care programs**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	\$413,800	\$495,618	\$413,800	\$413,800	\$413,800	\$2,069,000
Actual	\$563,536	\$515,993	\$534,424			\$1,6139,953

**CH03 Child care programs/policies created/improved**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	125	125	125	125	125	625
Actual	131	122	150			403

**CH04 People facilitated inclusive child care**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**CH05 People trained in child care**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	380	120	120	120	120	860
Actual	175	122	197			446

**CH08 Other**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
			18			

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

<b>Target</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Actual</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>			<b>0</b>

**3. NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN CHILD CARE. (2500 characters)**

The Council's Inclusive Child Care Program provided direct assessment and planning services to 159 children of lower income parents eligible for subsidies through Oregon's child care subsidy programs. The program leveraged an additional \$534,424 in funds through interagency agreements with the states' Child Care Division (CCD), Department of Human Services (DHS), and the Oregon Commission on Children and Families. Leveraged funds include the following: \$122,428 in direct child care subsidies for children with disabilities; \$411,996 for program costs and projects.

The program worked with 150 child care providers through the assessment and subsidy program or consultation only. Through subcontracts, the program supported 8 child care resource and referral programs and 1 Educational Service District to provide assessment and consultation services and/or inclusive child care training. The agencies serve a total of 22 counties.

Program staff trained 197 people in issues related to inclusive child care. These included 58 individuals trained by program staff and 91 trained through the PICCE Project. Trainees included child care, Head Start and other care and education providers.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN RECREATION:** People benefit from inclusive recreational, leisure and social activities consistent with their interests and abilities.

**TR01 People have access to inclusive recreation activities through Council efforts**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	250	250	5000
Actual	0	0	0			0

**TR02 Dollars leveraged for recreation**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Actual	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$0

**TR03 Recreation programs/policies created/improved**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	5	5	10
Actual	0	0	0			0

**TR04 People facilitated recreation**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**TR05 People trained in recreation**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	250	250	500
Actual	0	0	0			0

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN TRANSPORTATION:** People have transportation services for work, school, medical, and personal needs.

**TR01 People have transportation services through Council efforts**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**TR02 Dollars leveraged for transportation**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Actual	\$0	\$0	\$11,500,000			\$11,500,000

**TR03 Transportation programs/policies created/improved**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	3	4	0	0	0	7
Actual	3	0	0			3

**TR04 People facilitated transportation**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**TR05 People trained in transportation**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**3. NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING  
YEAR IN TRANSPORTATION. (2500 characters)**

A \$10 million General Fund appropriation for Senior and Disabled Transportation brought the total funding for the program to just over \$29 million dollars. This is an \$11.5 million increase in the program which now includes General Fund as well as cigarette tax and lawnmower fuel tax revenue.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**2. COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN QUALITY ASSURANCE:**

People have the information, skills, opportunities, and supports to live free of abuse, neglect, financial and sexual exploitation, and violations of their human and legal rights, and the inappropriate use of restraints or seclusion. Quality assurance systems contribute to and protect self-determination, independence, productivity, and integration and inclusion in all facets of community life.

**QA01 People benefiting from quality assurance efforts of the Council**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	1,400	2,600	2,700	1,900	5,200	13,800
Actual	1,217	1,742	9,616			12,575

**QA02 Dollars leveraged for quality assurance programs**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	\$1,900,000	\$1,900,000	\$45,000,000	\$45,000,000	\$45,000,000	\$9,500,000
Actual	63,330,000	49,432,127	\$58,669,504			171,431,631

**QA03 Quality assurance programs/policies created/improved**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	9	9	4	4	4	30
Actual	10	12	14			36

**QA04 People facilitated quality assurance**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**QA05 People trained in quality assurance**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	6,190	5,190	5,190	5,190	5,190	26,950
Actual	600	7,060	1,162			8,822

**QA06 People active in systems advocacy about quality assurance**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	1,517	100	100	100	100	1,917
Actual	400	152	1,992			2,544

**Breakout number by category:**

**1) Self -advocates:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	751	30	30	30	30	871
Actual	98	22	15			135

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**2) Family members:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	752	50	50	50	50	952
Actual	135	58	184			377

**3) Others:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	6	20	20	20	20	86
Actual	167	72	1,793			2,032

**QA07 People trained in systems advocacy about quality assurance**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	430	25	200	25	200	880
Actual	145	23	41			209

**Breakout number by category:**

**1) Self-advocates:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	180	5	50	5	50	290
Actual	20	7	21			48

**2) Family members:**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	50	20	150	20	150	390
Actual	80	16	2			98

**3) Others**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	6	6	6	6	6	30
Actual	45	0	18			63

**QA08 People trained in leadership, self-advocacy and self-determination**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	180	180	180	180	720
Actual	535	332	424			1291

**QA09 People attained membership on public and private bodies and other leadership coalitions**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	9	0	0			9

**QA10 Number of entities participating in partnerships or coalitions created or sustained**

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

as a result of Councils efforts						
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	105	44	50	50	50	281
Actual	57	70	46			173

### **3. Self-Advocacy**

A. Is there a self-advocacy organization(s) in the state led by individuals with developmental disabilities that receives direct funding from a private or public source? Yes X No

B. Does the Council directly or indirectly fund a self-advocacy organization in the state led by individuals with developmental disabilities? Yes X No

If yes, amount of funding Council contributes: \$177,160.00

C. Dollars leveraged for self-advocacy organization(s) in the state led by individuals with developmental disabilities. \$144,440.00 from the State.

---

## DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT

---

### **NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN QUALITY ASSURANCE. (2500 characters)**

The Departments of Human Services and Education contracted with the Council to support the creation and ongoing operations of the new Governor's Commission on Autism Spectrum Disorder. This is a time-limited commission authorized by the legislature to develop recommendations for improved and/or expanded state services to individuals on the autism spectrum and their families. Approximately 75 individuals, including the 13 commissioners, have agreed to serve on the 7 committees. A final report with commission recommendations will be submitted to the legislature in 2011.

The Seniors and People with Disabilities Division (SPD) contracted with the Council to provide orientation to individuals and family members who will receive Supports Intensity Scale (SIS) needs assessments. The Council developed orientation materials, including a brochure, a narrated PowerPoint presentation for family members, and an illustrated booklet for people with developmental disabilities. Nearly 350 attended orientation sessions conducted by a parent and a self advocate on our staff. The sessions provided information about the interview process and content in order to reduce anxiety and better prepare families and individuals to be active participants during their interviews.

A new Assessment Unit consisting of ten professionally trained assessors was created within SPD to perform SIS needs assessment interviews with adults in comprehensive services and in foster care. As a result of their interview scores, 772 adults with developmental disabilities received higher budget amounts to address their support needs.

By educating policy makers and participating in administrative rules processes, the Council helped create policies and expand programs that contribute to the well being of people with developmental disabilities. Perhaps the most significant systems change was the passage of HB 2442, which expands the definitions of abuse and strengthens the abuse investigation system. This bill was created to respond to widespread abuse allegations in the DD system. A companion bill establishes conditions when a confession alone is sufficient for prosecution of a crime when the victim is not considered competent to testify.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**2. COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR IN FORMAL/INFORMAL COMMUNITY SUPPORTS (CS):** Individuals have access to other services available or offered in a community, including formal and informal community supports that affect their quality of life.

<b>CS01 People receive formal/informal community supports through Council efforts</b>						
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0
 <b>CS02 Dollars leveraged for formal/informal community supports</b>						
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
Target	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Actual	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$0
 <b>CS03 Formal/informal community supports programs/policies created/improved</b>						
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
Target	0	0	1	5	5	20
Actual	0	0	1			1
 <b>CS04 People facilitated formal/informal community supports</b>						
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0
 <b>CS05 People trained in formal/informal community supports</b>						
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
Target	0	0	100	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0
 <b>CS08 Buildings/public accommodations became accessible</b>						
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0
 <b>CS09 Other(s)</b>						
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>Cumulative</b>
Target	0	0	0	0	0	0
Actual	0	0	0			0

**NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR**

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**IN FORMAL/INFORMAL COMMUNITY SUPPORTS (2,500).**

The Council provided input to the DHS Office of Investigations & Training on their new “healthy relationships” curriculum. Copies of the Council’s magazine, *Oregon Perspectives*, which focused on that subject, were provided to OIT to supplement their training materials.

**2. COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR FOR CROSS CUTTING**

**CC1. Public Policymakers educated by Council about issues related to Council initiatives**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	150	150	107	107	107	750
Actual	106	107	107			320

**CC2. Copies of products distributed to policymakers and the general public (clarification received from ADD) about issues related to Council Initiatives**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	1,500	12,800	33,000	13,800	13,800	62,900
Actual	954	24,600	37,717			63,271

**CC3. Members of the general public estimated to have been reached by Council public education, awareness and media initiatives**

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Cumulative
Target	2,000,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	4,000,000
Actual	2,500,000	4,300,000	367,275			7,167,275

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF COUNCIL RESULTS FOR REPORTING YEAR  
IN CROSS CUTTING. (2000 characters)**

The Council has a grassroots network of more than 5,600 individuals who receive regular communication and information through *Oregon Perspectives* magazine, *The GO! Bulletin*, action alerts, *People First Connection* magazine and the Self Advocates As Leaders newsletter. In an effort to be more cost efficient during tight budget times, this year we reduced the number of issues our magazine from four to three per year, began producing it in-house and now disseminate it electronically to all those who do not specifically request hard copies.

The Director of the Department of Human Services presided over the annual DD Awareness Day at a ceremony in March. 200 people attended, including public policymakers. The ceremony included the unveiling of a full-color poster co-produced by The Arc of Oregon. This year's message, "Get Informed, Get Involved, Get Results," encouraged advocates to join the Oregon Disability Network, a grassroots advocacy network that is co-managed by the Council and the Oregon DD Coalition.

More than 367,000 members of the public are estimated to have been reached through Council initiatives, primarily via the media. The Council was used as a source on one of the most controversial issues during the legislative session: closure of the 136-year-old School for the Blind. Ultimately, the legislature was voted to close the school on the basis that it was sound educational and fiscal policy.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**SECTION IV: CONSUMER SATISFACTION WITH COUNCIL SUPPORTED OR CONDUCTED ACTIVITIES –**

**Individual Format**

Number of responses: 62

**Respect-**

Yes 79%            No 3.2%            DNA 17.7%

**Choice and Control-**

Yes 75.8%        No 9.6%            DNA 12.9%        Skipped 1.6%

**Community-**

Yes 82.3%        No 8%              DNA 8%            Skipped 1.6%

**Satisfied-**

Strongly Agree 51.6%    Agree 38.7%        Disagree 6.5%        Strongly Disagree 1.6%  
Skipped 1.6%

**Better Life-**

Strongly Agree 40.3%    Agree 40.3%        Disagree 6.5%        Strongly Disagree 3.2%  
DNA 6.5%            Skipped 3.2%

**Stakeholders Format**

Number of responses: 36

**Choice and Control-**

S/ Agree 22.2%    Agree 36.1%        A/ Somewhat 27.7%    Disagree 0%    D/ Somewhat 5.5%  
S/ Disagree 0%  
Skipped 5.5%

**Participate in Community-**

S/ Agree 22.2%    Agree 38.8%        A/ Somewhat 27.7%    Disagree 0%    D/ Somewhat 5.5 %  
S/Disagree 0%  
Skipped 5.5%

**Satisfaction-Promote Self-determination and community participation-**

S/ Agree 33.3%    Agree 44.4%        A/ Somewhat 13.8%    Disagree 0%    D/ Somewhat 5.5 %  
S/ Disagree 0%  
Skipped 2.7%

---

## DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT

---

### **Consumer Satisfaction Narrative - Please provide any additional information to describe the consumer satisfaction rating results. (2,500 characters)**

62 individuals with developmental disabilities or their family members responded to the Consumer Satisfaction Survey through either a written version or an electronic version of the survey regarding several Council activities. For each activity, the survey asked process and outcome questions about respect, choice and control, ability to do more in the community and life improvements. The Council received positive comments related to supporting self advocacy groups, Council publications, public policy advocacy and providing leadership to various statewide stakeholder groups.

Information from other stakeholders was gathered through a focus group comprised of members of the Oregon DD Coalition as well as through an electronic survey. We received input from 36 stakeholders. In general, we received positive comments about the Council's involvement in working collaboratively with community partners and coalitions, and legislative advocacy.

Recommendations for improvement included increased outreach and engagement, focusing on helping people achieve better life outcomes, encouraging more self-advocate participation, and providing more training opportunities.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**SECTION V: COUNCIL PROGRESS IN ACHIEVING GOALS**

**Narrative:** Please provide a description of the extent to which the goal was achieved. If not achieved, a description of factors that impeded the achievement. (1000 characters)

**Goal QA-1: Self-determination is reflected in all developmental disability services and supports.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** We have exceeded our overall target for policy/program improvements, including the major restructuring of Oregon's DD provider rate system, linking it to individualized needs assessments and budgets. Our training targets are on schedule.

**Goal QA-2: Oregon has a quality assurance/quality improvement (QA/QI) system for all developmental disability services and supports.**

**Goal achievement: Met**

**Narrative:** We have exceeded our overall target for program/policy improvements in the state QA/QI system; however, we will continue to advocate for improvements that lead to an accessible, statewide QA/QI system that reflects the values and priorities of families and self-advocates.

**Goal QA-3: Self-advocates and family members have leadership roles in policy arenas at all levels.**

**Goal achievement: Partially Met**

**Narrative:** We are on target. Self Advocates As Leaders (SAAL) provided leadership training to hundreds of self-advocates and others in 2009.

**Goal QA-4: Developmental disability supports and services are available to all eligible people and their families.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** We greatly exceed our 2009 target due to changes in the Family Support program that benefited thousands. This also puts us close to meeting our overall target.

**Goal QA-5: Oregon has strong statewide advocacy coalitions and networks to address developmental disability issues and broader disability concerns.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**Narrative:** We are on track to reach targets. All the coalitions we support were fully functioning in 2009.

**Goal EM-1: Employment and careers are real options for all people with developmental disabilities.**

**Goal achievement: Met**

**Narrative:** Although we have met or exceeded all our planned targets, employment remains a high priority for the Council. New training targets were added and met in our 2009 plan amendment.

**Goal ED-1: Youth with developmental disabilities are prepared for life after high school.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** We are on track to reach our amended targets.

**Goal ED-2: The educational needs of children with developmental disabilities are addressed.**

**Goal achievement: Met**

**Narrative:** We exceeded our targets for this goal.

**Goal HO-1: Individuals with developmental disabilities have the support they need to make informed choices about their housing.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** We came close to meeting our target for 2009. We do not, however, expect to reach our overall goal. New targets will be set in our 2010 plan amendment.

**Goal HO-2: People with developmental disabilities have homes that are accessible, affordable and appropriate.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** We fell short of our 2009 target for individuals having homes of their choice and dollars leveraged (we still expect to meet these overall targets); due to state staffing issues, the Fairview Community Housing Trust Fund only issued one cycle of small grants for home modifications. We met our new 2009 target for people moving to community homes. We have exceeded our overall policy target.

**Goal HE-1: People with developmental disabilities receive the full range of**

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**necessary health services from the Oregon Health Plan.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** We met our target for creating or improving programs and policies. We are on target for kids entering our new Medically Involved Waiver program.

**GOAL HE-2: People with developmental disabilities have access to health care that meets their needs.**

**Goal achievement: Met**

**Narrative:** We have met targets for program/policy improvements.

**Goal CH-1: Child care for families of children with disabilities is available, affordable, accessible and inclusive.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** All targets are on schedule.

**GOAL RE-1: People with developmental disabilities have appropriate supports and resources to successfully participate in recreation and leisure activities of their choice.**

**Goal achievement: Unmet**

**Narrative:** Planning is underway but implementation has been delayed until 2010-2011.

**Goal TR-1: People with developmental disabilities have reliable transportation for work.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** Surprisingly, despite severe shortfalls in state revenues, the 2009 legislature authorized \$11.5 million for special transportation. Because this outcome was totally unexpected, we did not have a target for leveraged transportation dollars.

**Goal CS-1: People with developmental disabilities have support to develop and maintain healthy friendships and relationships.**

**Goal achievement: Met**

**Narrative:** Our only target for this goal was set in our 2009 Plan Amendment and met this year.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**Goal CC-1: People are educated about issues of importance to people with developmental disabilities in Oregon and their families.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** We have exceeded our overall target for educating the general public and we are on target for educating policy makers.

**Goal CC-2: Communities support inclusion and self-determination for individuals with developmental disabilities and families.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** On target.

**GOAL CC-3: People with developmental disabilities receive respectful, quality services from health care providers.**

**Goal achievement: Partially met**

**Narrative:** An issue of *Oregon Perspectives* magazine devoted to health care for people with developmental disabilities was published and disseminated. We also plan to widely disseminate health care training modules developed by the Kentucky Council, as well as resource information gathered by an intern.

**Goal CC-4: People with developmental disabilities have information to develop and maintain healthy friendships and relationships.**

**Goal achievement: Met**

**Narrative:** This goal was met in 2008 through dissemination of our *Oregon Perspectives* magazine on healthy relationships.

## **SECTION VI: REPORTING YEAR EXPENDITURES**

### **A. Types of Recipient**

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

<b>RECIPIENT</b>	<b>Part B \$</b>	<b>Match \$</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
1. DD Council	\$385,222	\$411,996	\$797,218
2. Designated State Agency	\$40,827	\$40,827	\$81,654
3. Other(s) State Agency	\$0	\$195,346	\$195,346
4. P&A System	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$4,000
5. University Center(s)	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Non-Profit Organizations	\$189,471	\$146,440	\$335,911
7. Other	\$9,345	\$2,500	\$11,845
<b>8. TOTAL</b>	<b>\$626,865</b>	<b>\$799,109</b>	<b>\$1,425,974</b>

**B. Cost Categories – Areas of Emphasis, General and DSA Functions**

<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>Part B \$</b>	<b>Other(s) \$</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
1. Employment	\$21,364	\$0	\$21,364
2. Education and Early Intervention	\$25,763	\$0	\$25,763
3. Housing	\$8,956	\$0	\$8,956
3. Health	\$13,434	\$0	\$13,434
4. Child Care	\$0	\$411,996	\$411,996
5. Recreation	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Transportation	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Quality Assurance	\$328,862	\$150,940	\$479,802
8. Formal/Informal Community Supp	\$5,536	\$195,346	\$200,882
9. General management (Personnel, Budget/Finance/Reporting)	\$182,123	\$0	\$182,123
10. Functions of the DSA	\$40,827	\$40,827	\$81,654
<b>11. TOTAL</b>	<b>\$626,865</b>	<b>\$799,109</b>	<b>\$1,425,974</b>

**SECTION VII: DISSEMINATION OF ANNUAL REPORT**  
(2000 characters)

---

## DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT

---

- Distribution of PPR: Will send copies to the full Council, the Governor's office, the Director of the Department of Human Services and key policy makers within the Seniors & People with Disabilities Division. Will post report on our web page for interested members of the general public. Copies will be displayed in our publications rack and available to people who visit our office.
- Distribution of Annual Report: Printed versions were mailed to our collaborators, contractors, coalition partners, graduates of Partners in Policymaking, the Oregon Congressional delegation and other key partners. Electronic copies were sent to 1,700 individuals on the Oregon Disability Network. It is made available upon request in accessible formats. The report was posted on our web site for downloading or ordering, and was distributed at community meetings, forums, conferences, workshops, etc.

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**SECTION VIII: DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES NETWORK  
COLLABORATION**

**A. Provide information related to only those issues/barriers affecting individuals with developmental disabilities and their families in your State that the DDC, P&A, and University Center(s) (the DD network) have jointly identified as critical State issues/barriers.**

Using short titles, list 5-10 areas that the DDC, P&A, and University Center(s) have identified as critical State issues/barriers.

- (1) Collaboration on public policy advocacy
- (2) Rising to the challenge of current state budget crises
- (3) Training self advocates and family advocates
- (4) Leadership development for Latino families
- (5) Protecting individuals from abuse and neglect
- (6) Diversity development and cultural competency
- (7) Data collection for public policy advocacy

---

**DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL  
ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT**

---

**B. Provide the following information for at least one of the issues/barriers selected for DD Network collaboration.**

1. Issue/Barrier #1 (from above): Collaboration on public policy advocacy
2. Provide a brief description of the collaborative issue/barrier and expected outcome(s): Public policy advocacy is most effective when all major stakeholder organizations collaborate together on issues. By continuing to build and strengthen the Oregon DD Coalition, we positively influence budgets, laws and administrative rules that affect people with developmental disabilities and their families.
3. Reference applicable Area of Emphasis: Quality Assurance
4. Describe the Council's specific roles and responsibilities in this collaborative effort and technical assistance expertise your Council can provide to other States: The Council provides staff support to the coalition, serves on the Executive Committee and various workgroups, co-facilitates the meetings and provides in-kind contributions. The Council's policy analyst educates public policymakers about coalition interests and concerns.
5. Briefly identify problems encountered as a result of this collaboration, and technical assistance, if any, desired: At times a member organization will take action on an issue affecting multiple stakeholders without adequately including the rest of the coalition. This can fragment the advocacy community and be counterproductive to the cause being pursued. While no technical assistance is required at this time, we do need to frequently remind member organizations about the rules of engagement for effective coalitions.
6. Describe any unexpected benefits of this collaborative effort: Even during this time of state budget crisis, the state has continued to contract with the coalition to conduct trainings for direct support professionals (as it has since 2006). As a result, many more workforce members from across the state have received and will receive high quality training.

---

## DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL ANNUAL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT

---

1. Issue/Barrier #2 (from above): Rising to the challenge of current state budget crises
2. Provide a brief description of the collaborative issue/barrier and expected outcome(s): In the face of major budget crises, many states are making or considering massive cuts in developmental disability and other human services. Network Collaborators in the western states (Region X plus Nevada and California) are planning a collaborative conference to share information and resources about projects/best practices to support people with developmental disabilities in our states that are collaborative, unique and rise to the challenge of current times.
3. Reference applicable Area of Emphasis: Quality Assurance
4. Describe the Council's specific roles and responsibilities in this collaborative effort and technical assistance expertise your Council can provide to other States: The Oregon Council is the lead organizer and host for the conference, which will be held in Portland on May 14 & 15, 2010.
5. Briefly identify problems encountered as a result of this collaboration, and technical assistance, if any, desired: Given the tight budgets of Network Collaborators, we are working hard to make the conference affordable. We plan to request support from ITACC to sponsor a speaker or provide other assistance. We have also requested and received information from the Nebraska Council regarding their recent regional collaborative conference.
6. Describe any unexpected benefits of this collaborative effort: Even as we plan, we are already learning from each other about how we are addressing fiscal and other challenges in our states through collaboration.